



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_OR_6137
Title of the Manuscript:	ORBITAL PROPTOSIS- A RARE PRESENTATION OF THYROID CARCINOMA: CASE REPORT AND REVIEW
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Abbreviation that need clarification Abstract: "CECT" Line 45: "CECT" again. 2. Lots typographic error e.g. Line 1 spacing between 70 and years Line 11 spacing between examination and (HPE) Line 13 Orbit and Mass should be lower cases Line 15 "Papillary Carcinoma Thyroid" maybe "thyroid papillary carcinoma" in lower cases Line 17 spacing between 40 and Gray. There are the same error across the manuscript.	Required changes have been made. Changes have been made.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This is the comprehensive review in orbital metastasis of thyroid carcinoma. The author need the consent from the patient to publish her pictures.	Consent has been taken from the patient but not been attached with the manuscript.